

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

2020 European guideline on the management of syphilis

M. Janier,^{1,*} M. Unemo,² N. Dupin,³ G.S. Tiplica,⁴ M. Potočnik,⁵ R. Patel⁶

¹STD Clinic, Hôpital Saint-Louis AP-HP and Hôpital Saint-Joseph, Paris, France

²WHO Collaborating Centre for Gonorrhoea and other Sexually Transmitted Infections, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Microbiology, Örebro University Hospital and Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

³Syphilis National Reference Center, Hôpital Tarnier-Cochin, AP-HP, Paris, France

⁴2nd Dermatological Clinic, Carol Davila University, Colentina Clinical Hospital, Bucharest, Romania

⁵Department of Dermatovenereology, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁶Department of Genitourinary Medicine, the Royal South Hants Hospital, Southampton, UK

*Correspondence to: M Janier. E-mail: michel.janier.expert@gmail.com

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest related to this guideline.

Funding sources

None.

Abstract

The 2020 edition of the European guideline on the management of syphilis is an update of the 2014 edition.

Main modifications and updates include:

- The ongoing epidemics of early syphilis in Europe, particularly in men who have sex with men (MSM)
- The development of dual treponemal and non-treponemal point of care (POC) tests
- The progress in non-treponemal test (NTT) automatization
- The regular episodic shortage of benzathine penicillin G (BPG) in some European countries
- The exclusion of azithromycin as an alternative treatment at any stage of syphilis
- The pre-exposure or immediate post-exposure prophylaxis with doxycycline in populations at high risk of acquiring syphilis.

Introduction

Syphilis is a systemic human disease due to *Treponema pallidum* subsp. *pallidum* (referred as *T. pallidum* below) and classified as acquired or congenital. Acquired syphilis (primarily by sexual contact) is divided into early and late syphilis. Early syphilis includes primary, secondary and early latent syphilis. The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) defines early syphilis (infectious syphilis) as syphilis acquired <1 year previously and the World Health Organization (WHO) as syphilis acquired <2 years previously.^{1,2} Late syphilis includes late latent and tertiary syphilis (gummatous, late cardiovascular and late neurosyphilis). The ECDC defines late syphilis as syphilis acquired ≥1 year previously and the WHO as syphilis acquired ≥2 years previously.^{1,2} Congenital syphilis (mother-to-child-transmission of syphilis) is divided into early (first 2 years) and late, including stigmata of congenital syphilis. The incidence of syphilis in the European Union/European Economic area (EU/EEA) has shown an overall increase since 2000, which has been mainly due to a significant increase in Western and Central EU/EEA countries and particularly among men who have sex with men (MSM).³

This guideline is an update of the 2014 European guideline on the management of syphilis.⁴

Case finding (2,C)

Routine tests for syphilis should be taken in all pregnant women, people donating blood, blood products or solid organs and the following groups at higher risk of syphilis: all patients who are newly diagnosed with sexually transmitted infection (STI); persons with HIV; persons on pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP); patients with hepatitis B and/or hepatitis C; patients suspected of early neurosyphilis (i.e. unexplained sudden visual loss, unexplained sudden deafness or meningitis); patients who engage in sexual behaviour that places them at higher risk (e.g. MSM, sex workers and all those individuals at higher risk of acquiring STIs). Screening tests should also be offered to all attendees at dermatovenereology/genitourinary medicine (GUM)/STI clinics referred to hereafter as “sexual health clinics”

Diagnosis

Clinical⁵⁻⁹

The definition of stages is clinical, chronology beginning with the onset of a chancre (ulcer or erosion). Stages can overlap. Secondary syphilis develops in approximately one third of untreated patients, tertiary syphilis in about 10%. Patients are infectious primarily through sexual contact, mainly in the first year (primary and secondary syphilis). Later transmission usually by other means (vertically and through tissue donation) is well described.¹⁰

Incubation period: 10-90 days between infection and emergence of chancre

Primary syphilis: a chancre, usually with regional lymphadenopathy. The chancre is typically superficial, single, painless and indurated with a clean base discharging clear serum, most often in the anogenital region. It is never blistering in appearance. Chancres are often atypical in appearance and may be multiple, painful, deep and indistinguishable from herpetic ulceration.¹¹⁻¹³ Any anogenital ulcer should be considered syphilitic unless proven otherwise. Chancres are frequently difficult to find in females and MSM. Initial tests may not allow a firm and conclusive rejection of a syphilis diagnosis and retesting with serology at 1, 2 and 6 weeks is needed to exclude the diagnosis – however delaying treatment is hazardous in some populations especially when patients are unlikely to return for follow-up and thorough investigations.

Secondary syphilis¹⁴⁻¹⁹: multisystem involvement due to bacteraemia, usually within the first year but it may recur in the second year after infection. Usually non-itching skin rash (roseola in the 2-3 months after onset of chancre and papular syphilids later on) and/or mucocutaneous lesions are present in 90% of cases. Fever, generalised lymphadenopathy, hepatitis, splenomegaly, periostitis, arthritis, aortitis and glomerulonephritis are possible. Meningitis, cranial nerve palsies, auricular and ophthalmic abnormalities (such as uveitis, retinitis, otitis and papillar edema), meningo-vascular syphilis (stroke, myelitis) can occur in secondary syphilis and should be individualized as early neurosyphilis.

Latent syphilis: positive serological tests for syphilis with no clinical evidence of treponemal infection. Rather arbitrarily classified as early if within the first year of infection and late (or undetermined duration) after ≥ 1 year. Early latent syphilis (or non-primary non secondary early syphilis)⁶ is a descriptive term that includes patients with positive serological tests for syphilis *and*:

- a negative syphilis serology within 1 year of a syphilis diagnosis OR
- a fourfold (2 dilutions) or greater increase of non-treponemal antibodies titre within 1 year of previous testing OR
- unequivocal evidence that the disease was acquired in the past year (on the basis of clinical signs in patient and partners).²⁰

Misclassification of early vs late latent syphilis is common.

Tertiary syphilis:

- Gummatous syphilis: nodules/plaques or ulcers (skin, mucosae, visceral);
- Late neurosyphilis encompasses meningitis, cranial nerve dysfunction, meningo-vascular syphilis (stroke, myelitis) and parenchymatous neurosyphilis (general paresis, tabes dorsalis);
- Cardiovascular syphilis: aortic regurgitation, stenosis of coronary ostia, aortic aneurysm (mainly thoracic).

Neurologic syphilis: meningitis, cranial nerve dysfunction, can occur early (secondary syphilis) or late (tertiary syphilis) in the course of the disease

Laboratory

Demonstration of T. pallidum

- Direct detection methods provide definitive diagnosis of syphilis.
- Darkfield examination (DFE) of chancres and erosive cutaneous lesions was the old gold standard method for definitive diagnosis. It gives immediate results. However, the method is labor intensive, subjective, and can result in some false positive and (many) false negative results.^{21,22} Due to the availability of more sensitive and specific tests (specifically the PCR), it is not recommended for routine diagnosis anymore.
- Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing is the preferred method particularly but not exclusively for oral and other lesions where contamination with commensal treponemes is likely. It can be performed using tissues, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or blood (although insensitive in the latter).²²⁻²⁸ There is no internationally approved PCR assay for *T. pallidum* and accordingly, it is crucial to select a strictly validated and quality-assured method and always use it with appropriate quality controls.
- Immunohistochemistry using a polyclonal antibody against *T. pallidum* can be efficient to identify treponemes in skin, mucosal and tissue lesions,^{27,28} but it is not suitable for routine diagnosis.
- Hybridization in tissues²⁹ is not used for routine diagnosis.
- Warthin-Starry (argentic) staining on tissues is very difficult to perform and of limited value in most cases.
- (Direct fluorescent antibody test is obsolete)
- For molecular epidemiological typing, PCR, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and/or DNA-sequencing (e.g. multilocus sequence typing (MLST) or whole genome sequencing) can be performed on clinical specimens. However, due to the highly conserved genome of *T. pallidum* the discriminatory ability of typing methods is in general low.³⁰⁻³⁶

Serological tests for syphilis (STS)^{22,37-50}

STS provide a presumptive (but essential) diagnosis of syphilis.

None of the STS differentiate between venereal syphilis and the non-venereal treponematoses (yaws: *T. pallidum* subsp *pertenuis*; bejel - endemic syphilis: *T. pallidum* subsp *endemicum* and pinta: *T. carateum*). These pathogens are morphologically and antigenically similar, and can be differentiated only by their mode of transmission, epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and more recently based on minor differences by genomic DNA sequencing.⁵¹⁻⁵³ A person with

positive STS should be investigated and treated as for syphilis as a precautionary measure unless previous adequate treatment for syphilis is documented (1, D).

- Non-treponemal tests (NTT): using a complex antigen consisting of cardiolipin, lecithin and cholesterol (lipoidal tests, reagin tests) such as the Venereal Disease Research Laboratory test (VDRL), the Rapid Plasma Reagin test (RPR), the Tolidine Red Unheated Serum Test (TRUST) etc. All these tests detect a mixture of heterophile IgG and IgM and are manually performed, but they are cheap, simple and, if performed appropriately, have a relatively high sensitivity. NTT usually become positive approximately 10-15 days after the appearance of the primary chancre (i.e. around 6 weeks after infection). In the absence of treatment, the titre reaches a peak between 1-2 years following infection and remains positive with low titres in very late disease.²² Spontaneous seroreversion of NTT in patients with tertiary syphilis is hardly ever observed. Titres of NTT correlate grossly with disease activity and are used to monitor both disease activity and efficacy of treatment. Semi-automatized RPR tests have been developed.⁵⁴ However, these tests require further optimizations and subsequent evaluations.⁵⁵
- Treponemal tests (TT): *T. pallidum* Haemagglutination test (TPHA), *T. pallidum* Particle Agglutination test (TPPA), Fluorescent Treponemal Antibody absorption test (FTA-abs test), Treponemal Enzyme Immunoassay (EIA) or Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), Chemiluminescence Immunoassay (CLIA), IgG or IgM immunoblot test for *T. pallidum*. Most of these tests use recombinant treponemal antigens and detect both IgG and IgM. FTA-abs test is becoming obsolete because it is time-consuming, expensive and difficult to read. TPHA and TPPA are manual and subject to variation between individuals in interpretation but they are cheap and widely used all over Europe. EIA/ELISA and CLIA-tests are most frequently automated but many of those remain expensive, suboptimally evaluated and/or standardized, and some may have suboptimal specificity.^{22,56} TT mostly become positive in approximately 5-15 days after emergence of the chancre. Quantitation of TT is not useful in the diagnosis or management of syphilis (with possible exception of congenital syphilis). TT should therefore not be used to assess disease activity and treatment outcome and will remain positive for life in most patients.²²
- Specific anti-*T. pallidum* IgM antibody tests: EIA/IgM, 19S-IgM-FTA-abs test, IgM-immunoblot for *T. pallidum*. The sensitivity of such tests is low in active syphilis. IgM does not help to stage syphilis accurately and should not be relied upon to determine the length of treatment. The usefulness of measuring IgM in the assessment of newborns and in neurosyphilis has not been appropriately validated.²²
- Many rapid Point-of-Care tests (POCTs) using treponemal antigens have been developed in the last 25 years. Initially tests had suboptimal sensitivity

compared to traditional methods, but some of the latest assays have shown a substantial improvement.^{47,50,57,58} These older tests also did not detect cardiolipin antibodies (i.e. patients with active infectious syphilis). New POCTs have substantially better performances for detection of both treponemal and non-treponemal antibodies.⁵⁹⁻⁶⁴ Use of rapid POCTs is very important in the WHO strategy for global elimination of congenital syphilis and mother- to-child-transmission (MTCT) of both syphilis and HIV because they permit screening and treatment at the same visit in the field or at peripheral clinics remote from laboratories (1,D). Currently, where appropriate laboratory diagnostics are available for syphilis in Europe, syphilis POCTs are not recommended for use. Nevertheless, they are useful for on-site testing of outreach populations and in antenatal settings where women with no confirmed syphilis tests during pregnancy can be tested before delivery.

Primary screening test(s)^{4,22,47-49,64-68}

- TT [TPHA, MHA-TP, TPPA or EIA/ELISA/CLIA] – a TT-based screening algorithm, using by preference an automatized EIA/ELISA/CLIA, is used in many large, well-resourced European laboratories and is particularly suitable for automated high-throughput screening of asymptomatic populations including blood/plasma donors. The algorithm identifies persons with previous successful treatment of syphilis as well as those with untreated syphilis. It is usually more sensitive in detecting very early syphilis compared to the use of a screening NTT. However it can also result in a high number of false positive tests (i.e. very low positive predictive value) in low-prevalence populations.
- NTT [RPR or VDRL] – a NTT-based screening algorithm; preferably quantitative (i.e. to detect prozone phenomenon in infectious syphilis), is still recommended in some countries. In this algorithm, only active (infectious) syphilis is detected, however, it has a lower sensitivity compared to using a TT as primary screening test, and in particular very early syphilis can be missed.
- TT combined with a NTT - this algorithm is particularly useful in cases where the suspicion of very early syphilis is high (recent chancre, contacts of syphilis cases etc.), because in some patients NTT may become reactive before TT.

Confirmatory test(s) if any screening test is positive^{4,22,47-49,64-68}

- In the case a TT being used alone as a primary screening test, if positive, a confirmatory TT of a different type is of limited value in informing treatment,^{69,70} but a reflex quantitative NTT (reaching at least 1:8 to 1:16 dilution) should be performed in all cases on the same serum (1, B). Although a confirmatory TT may be important for counselling, notification and may have a psychological impact, it has limited impact on treatment.⁶⁹ In patients with a positive TT, a negative NTT and no suspicion of very early

syphilis (no chancre), both tests should be repeated after 1 month (1, D).

However, CLIA and EIA used in many European settings have suboptimal specificity, resulting in a low positive predictive value in low prevalence population.^{22,49,56} If such tests are used, additionally a reflex confirmatory test by TPHA or TPPA should be performed (1, C).

- In the case a NTT alone is used as a primary screening test, a positive test must be followed by a reflex TT on the same serum. If quantitative NTT was not initially done, the NTT should be repeated quantitatively (1, B).
- In the case both a TT and a NTT are used as primary screening tests such as (EIA/ELISA/CLIA/TPHA/TPPA plus VDRL/RPR), the NTT must be performed quantitatively (if not initially done) in case of positive or discrepant screening tests (1, B).
- The IgG-immunoblot for *Treponema pallidum* has no added major value to other TT. It is expensive and interpretation of undetermined immunoblot is elusive (1 to 4 bands).

Tests for serological activity of syphilis and for monitoring the effect of treatment

- Quantitative VDRL- or RPR-tests are widely used for monitoring the disease progression and effect of treatment at follow up visits.
- A quantitative titre must be obtained on the very first day of treatment, that is, to provide a baseline for measuring subsequent changes in antibody titres (1, C).
- Serum should be obtained at 1 month, 3 months and every 6 months subsequently, ideally the same NTT should be used and the samples examined in the same laboratory. This should be continued until the NTT becomes negative or reaches a low plateau (1:1-1:4 sustained for 1 year in the absence of ongoing risk) (2, C). Patients with persistent high titres should remain under follow up.

Laboratory: false negative syphilis serology^{4,22,37,38,43}

- All STS (TT and NTT) are negative before the appearance of a chancre and in the first about 5 to 15 days of the chancre. Both TT and NTT can be positive or negative or discordance can occur as follows: positive TT/negative NTT (2/3 of cases in primary syphilis) or negative TT/positive NTT (1/3 of cases in primary syphilis).⁴³ A negative NTT (or present at a low titre plateau, see above) along with a positive TT is frequently seen in treated and cured syphilis. Of note: particularly in late syphilis NTT can remain positive despite provision of adequate treatment.

- A persistent false negative TT in the course of the disease is exceedingly rare and can usually be explained by technical problems in the laboratory testing or mix up of samples.
- A false negative NTT (along with positive TT) may occur especially in early syphilis due to the prozone phenomenon (an excess of antibodies) when using undiluted serum. Dilution of serum for NTT must be performed in each case of a positive TT, at least to 1:8 or better 1:16.⁷¹ This point may be of particular importance if the index/optical density units of EIA/ELISA/CLIA is high, and clinicians and laboratory personnel must ensure the NTT titration has been performed effectively (1,B).
- A false negative NTT has also been described in old textbooks in active (very) late-stage syphilis (Bordet-Wassermann reaction). This is an extraordinarily rare situation and may not occur with modern tests.^{72,73}
- Temporarily negative NTT and TT (reactive on subsequent testing) have occasionally been reported in secondary syphilis (so-called malignant syphilis). The diagnosis should then be supported by DFE, *T. pallidum* PCR, histology and/or histochemistry.
- Retesting with both TT and NTT is necessary on a second serum when discordant results are found in an asymptomatic patient. In the case of chancre (in the absence of clinically overt vesicles), if DFE is positive or not available, treatment should be administered in all cases (syndromic approach) before obtaining laboratory results (*T. pallidum* PCR, *Herpes* PCR, and STS). This recommendation is an important safeguard in many settings where follow up is not optimal (2, C)

Laboratory: false positive syphilis serology^{4,22,37,38,74}

- Biological false positive (BFP) NTT results are associated with various medical conditions and have been estimated to occur in 0.2-0.8% of tests (and even higher in some studies). They can be divided into acute (<6 months) and chronic (≥6 months). Acute BFP may be seen in postimmunisation, recent myocardial infarction, many febrile infective illnesses (e.g. malaria, hepatitis, chicken pox, measles, etc.), and in pregnancy. Chronic BFP may be seen in injecting drug users, autoimmune diseases, HIV infection and chronic conditions such as leprosy, malignancies, chronic liver pathology and older age. The majority of BFP NTT sera show antibody titres of ≤1:4. A positive NTT must be retested on a second sample along with a TT.
- Occasional BFP TT tests (FTA-abs test more frequently than TPHA/MHA-TP/TPPA) may be seen in autoimmune diseases, Lyme disease and possibly during pregnancy. It can be excluded with, for example, the IgG immunoblot test for *T. pallidum*. All TT requiring visual

reading of results (FTA-abs test, TPHA, TPPA...) are more subject to false-positive reactions at low-titres of antibodies. Retesting on a second sample is necessary in case of negative NTT.

Laboratory tests to confirm or exclude neurosyphilis^{15,24,75-87}

A complete clinical examination (neurological, ocular and otologic) is recommended in every patient with positive STS. However, in those without symptoms it is rarely contributory.^{79,81,83,86-88}

- Fundoscopy must be performed before lumbar puncture (LP). Computer tomography (CT) of the brain should be requested if neurological problems are identified.
- CSF assessment is not indicated in early syphilis (whether the patient is HIV positive or negative), unless there are neurological, ocular or auricular symptoms (1, A).
- CSF assessment is indicated in patients with:
 - clinical evidence of neurological, ocular and auricular involvement, whatever the stage of the disease⁷⁹ (1, C)
 - tertiary syphilis (cardiovascular, gummatous) (1, D)
- The definition of asymptomatic neurosyphilis is extremely difficult and contentious. Most definitions depend on a combination of CSF laboratory tests (protein, cells, CSF TT and CSF NTT) but no consensual definition exists.
- Although penicillin levels after injection of benzathine penicillin G (BPG) are frequently under the reputed penicillin treponemicidal level, progression from asymptomatic to symptomatic neurosyphilis is extraordinarily rare (even in HIV-positive patients).⁸⁶ As CSF assessment is not without its own dangers, LP is not recommended in the vast majority of asymptomatic patients (1, D).
- Although robust evidence is lacking, some experts still recommend CSF assessment in asymptomatic patients in the following situations for exclusion of asymptomatic neurosyphilis (2, D):
 - in HIV positive patients with late syphilis AND CD4 cells $\leq 350/\text{mm}^3$ AND/OR a serum VDRL/RPR titre $> 1:32$ ⁸⁷
 - in those who have serological failure or are serofast
 - in those given alternative treatment (e.g. tetracyclines such as doxycycline) for late syphilis
- Examination of CSF: must include total protein, number of mononuclear cells, a TT (TPHA/MHA-TP/TPPA) and a NTT (preferably VDRL and otherwise RPR)⁸²
 - A normal protein level is possible in neurosyphilis.
 - The number of mononuclear cells in CSF can be normal in neurosyphilis, especially in parenchymatous neurosyphilis (tabes dorsalis, general paresis).^{75,76,87} Conversely, high number of mononuclear cells in CSF can be

observed in a number of situations, including HIV-infection in the absence of syphilis.

- A positive CSF VDRL test is observed in only about 1:3rd of cases of neurosyphilis but a positive test can in the absence of substantial blood contamination be considered as indicative of neurosyphilis in late disease. However, in early syphilis the significance of a positive CSF VDRL test is less clear.⁸³
- A positive CSF TT (TPHA/TPPA) does not confirm the diagnosis of neurosyphilis but a negative CSF TT means neurosyphilis is highly unlikely.^{15,84,85}
- Several indices can be calculated to evaluate the significance of CSF anti-treponemal immunoglobulins, taking into account transfer through the blood-brain barrier. However none of these have proven to be of practical use.⁴
- PCR assays for detection of *T. pallidum* in CSF to help establish a diagnosis of neurosyphilis are currently considered of little value since they have shown low sensitivity and suboptimal specificity.^{15,24,89}
- In case of an abnormal CSF examination (high protein level and/or hypercytosis), repeat CSF control must be performed after treatment (6 weeks-6 months).

Investigation for cardiovascular syphilis

- Any patient with aortic insufficiency or thoracic aortic aneurysm should be screened for syphilis.
- Auscultation must be performed in patients with late latent or tertiary syphilis and in patients with latent syphilis of unknown duration. A chest X-ray is rarely contributory.⁹⁰

Investigation for ocular syphilis

- Any patient with unexplained sudden visual loss should be screened for syphilis.
- Clinical ocular assessment must be performed in patients with secondary, early latent, tertiary and late latent syphilis, and a funduscopy performed if any clinical ocular signs are found.
- Performing CSF examination is controversial as intravenous (IV) penicillin therapy will be initiated anyway. It may be helpful to exclude other pathologies in the differential diagnosis and if found to be abnormal in someone with neurosyphilis, appropriate follow-up is required to ensure all markers return to acceptable levels (2, C).

Investigation for auricular syphilis

Any patient with unexplained sudden hearing loss should be screened for syphilis.

Management

Individuals with syphilis are at higher risk of acquiring other STIs, and should have a full STI assessment. All patients with syphilis should also be tested for HIV and HCV if risk factors (as assessed by local epidemiology) are present. Assessment and vaccination for Hepatitis B should also be considered if appropriate.

General remarks^{87,91-96}

- A treponemicidal level of antimicrobial should be achieved in the serum, and in the case of neurosyphilis also strived for as much as feasible in the CSF. A penicillin level of >0.018 mg/L is considered treponemicidal, but this level is substantially lower than the maximally effective *in vitro* concentration (0.36 mg/L).
- The duration to maintain a treponemicidal level of antimicrobials should be at least 7-10 days to cover a number of bacterial generation times (30-33 h). Longer duration of treatment is needed as the duration of infection increases (more relapses have been seen in later stages after short courses of treatment), possibly because of more slowly dividing treponemes in late syphilis (2, D). Treponemes have been shown to persist despite apparently successful treatment.⁹² The significance of this finding, if any, remains unknown.
- In general, long acting BPG 2.4 million units is the treatment of first choice, which provides a treponemicidal penicillin concentration in blood for up to 21 days. With daily parenteral treatment with procaine penicillin, a "safety margin" is provided by giving courses lasting 10-14 days in early syphilis and 10-21 days in late syphilis. However, well controlled clinical data are lacking on the optimal dose, duration of treatment and long term efficacy of all antimicrobials, even for BPG and other penicillins.
- Treatment recommendations are based mainly on laboratory considerations, biological plausibility, practical considerations, expert opinions, case studies, and past clinical experience.
- Parenteral rather than oral penicillin treatment is the treatment of choice because parenteral therapy is supervised with guaranteed bioavailability. However, amoxicillin, given orally in combination with probenecid appears to be effective and results in treponemicidal drug levels within the CSF.^{96,97}
- Non-penicillin antibiotics have been evaluated. These include tetracyclines (doxycycline is the preferred tetracycline with good penetration into the CSF) and macrolides, taken orally.⁹⁸⁻¹⁰² Doxycycline has been evaluated more than any other non penicillin antibiotics but all studies have been observational and retrospective.⁹⁹⁻¹⁰² Newer anti-treponemal antibiotics include the intramuscular or intravenous extended-spectrum cephalosporin (ESC) ceftriaxone.¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁵ Ceftriaxone has good CSF penetration, but it

requires multiple injections, the dose and duration are not standardized and it does not offer any advantages over single dose BPG.¹⁰⁶ However, like oral doxycycline, daily ceftriaxone injected intravenously or subcutaneously may be an alternative in patients with bleeding disorders.

- In case of penicillin allergy, use of ceftriaxone may be a dangerous option although cross allergies are not frequent. History of penicillin anaphylaxis is an absolute contraindication.⁶⁵ The oral ESC cefixime is currently evaluated for treatment, but appropriate data are still pending.
- Azithromycin has shown good treponemicidal activity in animal studies and several controlled studies, mostly in Africa. However, rapid emergence of resistance to azithromycin and clinical failures have been described in several studies.^{33,107-112}
- The host immune response is important as 60% of untreated patients will not develop clinical features other than primary lesions.¹¹³ CSF involvement is common in early syphilis.^{76,89} Although both parenteral BPG and standard regimens of parenteral procaine penicillin do not achieve treponemicidal CSF levels,^{77,84} the prevalence of late syphilis, including neurosyphilis, remains low, indicating that treatment at current doses is effective and suggesting that host immune responses in early syphilis play an essential part.
- BPG is widely used because of efficacy and ease of treatment. Replacing part of the diluent by the same volume of 1% lidocaine solution may reduce the pain associated with injection¹¹⁴ and in late syphilis may improve compliance with the second and third injection. Compliance with daily intramuscular injections with procaine penicillin has been shown to be good in the United Kingdom.¹¹⁵ The control of syphilis over the past 50 years has been excellent compared to the prepenicillin era and late complications of syphilis and/or failures of treatment are uncommon, even in patients with concomitant HIV infection.
- There is no established relationship between immune-suppression and the severity of the syphilis related disease.

However, a closer follow-up (i.e. 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months) can be recommended in HIV-positive patients, particularly if the CD4+ cell count is $\leq 350/\text{mm}^3$ and/or if the patient is not treated with antiretroviral therapy (2,D). HIV-coinfection does not appear to increase the risk of developing a more aggressive course of early syphilis. Modest differences have been published with a slightly higher prevalence of 1) multiple chancres, and 2) concomitant chancre and secondary eruption in patients infected with HIV. The risk of ocular and neurological involvement is not increased in HIV positive patients with early syphilis. Thus CSF assessment in early syphilis is indicated only in patients with overt ocular, auricular or neurologic symptoms (for the same reason as in non-HIV infected patients) (1, A)^{4,65,66}

Recommended treatment regimens^{2,4,66,89,116}

Early syphilis (Primary, Secondary and Early latent, i.e. acquired <1 year previously)

First line therapy option.

- BPG 2.4 million units intramuscularly (IM), given as one injection of 2.4 million units or two separate injections of 1.2 million units in each buttock, on day 1 (1, B)

Replacing part (i.e. 0.5 to 1 cc) of the diluent by lidocaine 1% solution without epinephrine may reduce the discomfort associated with injection,¹¹⁷ although this is not feasible in case of pre-mounted BPG syringes.

Patients should be kept for 30 minutes for clinical review after injection.

Although there are more than ten different pharmaceutical companies manufacturing BPG in Europe, shortages and supply disruptions are common.¹¹⁸

Second line therapy option.

- Procaine penicillin 600,000 units IM daily for 10-14 days, i.e. if BPG is not available (1, C)

Bleeding disorders.

- Ceftriaxone 1g intravenously (IV) in a single daily dose for 10 days (1, C)
- Doxycycline 200 mg daily (either 100 mg twice daily or as a single 200 mg dose) orally for 14 days (1, C)

Penicillin allergy or parenteral treatment refused.

- Doxycycline 200 mg daily (either 100 mg twice daily or as a single 200 mg dose) orally for 14 days (1, C)
- Desensitization to penicillin is an option but not possible in many settings and labor intensive.

Late latent (i.e. acquired ≥ 1 year previously or of unknown duration), cardiovascular and gummatous syphilis

First line therapy option.

- BPG 2.4 million units IM, given as one injection of 2.4 million units or two separate injections of 1.2 million units in each buttock, on day 1, 8 and 15 (1, C)

Replacing part (i.e. 0.5 to 1 cc) of the diluent by lidocaine 1% solution without epinephrine may reduce the discomfort associated with injection,¹¹⁷ although this is not feasible in case of pre-mounted BPG syringes.

Patients should be kept for 30 minutes for clinical review after injection

Second line therapy option.

- Procaine penicillin 600,000 units IM daily for 17-21 days, i.e. if BPG is not available (1, C)

Penicillin allergy or parenteral treatment refused.

Some specialists recommend penicillin desensitization because the evidence base for the effectiveness of non-penicillin regimens is weak.

- Doxycycline 200 mg daily (either 100 mg twice daily or as a single 200 mg dose) orally for 21-28 days (2, D)

Neurosyphilis, ocular and auricular syphilis

- Regimens that achieve treponemicidal levels of an antibiotic in the CSF should be the treatment of choice: IV therapy is the best option.
- Other regimens with weaker evidence can also achieve treponemicidal levels in the CSF i.e. the procaine penicillin/probenecid combination (IM) and ceftriaxone (IV or IM). The availability of probenecid may be a problem.
- Early ocular syphilis such as uveitis syphilitica of short duration may be successfully treated with BPG but this option is not recommended.

First line therapy option.

- Benzyl penicillin 18-24 million units IV daily, as 3-4 million units every 4 hours for 10-14 days (1, C)

Second line therapy option.

If hospitalization and IV benzyl penicillin is impossible

- Ceftriaxone 1-2 g IV in a single daily dose for 10-14 days (1, C)
- Procaine penicillin 1.2-2.4 million units IM daily AND probenecid 500 mg four times daily, both for 10-14 days (1, C)

Penicillin allergy.

- Desensitization to penicillin (in fact, induction of tolerance) followed by the first line regimen (1, C)

Special considerations

Pregnancy

In pregnant women with untreated early syphilis, 70-100% of infants will be infected, with stillbirths in up to one-third of cases.¹¹⁹⁻¹²¹

Women with persistently negative NTT results are very unlikely to transmit syphilis during pregnancy.¹²² In case of a positive TT along with a negative NTT, repeat NTT after one month to eliminate a very early syphilis. Most transmissions to the fetus occur in late pregnancy (after 28 weeks) and treatment before this period will usually prevent congenital features.¹¹⁹

First line option for treatment of early syphilis (i.e. acquired <1 year previously).

- BPG 2.4 million units IM single dose (or 1.2 million units in each buttock) (1, B)

Note: some specialists recommend 2 doses of BPG 2.4 million units (day 1 and 8) but the evidence to support this recommendation is limited.¹²³

Patients should be observed for adverse reactions to penicillin for 30 minutes after injection.

Second line therapy option.

Procaine penicillin 600,000 units IM daily for 10-14 days, i.e. if BPG is not available (1, C)

Penicillin allergy.

- Desensitization to penicillin followed by the first line regimen (1, C)

Prevention of congenital syphilis by serological screening during pregnancy and preventive neonatal treatment.

- All pregnant women should be screened at their first antenatal visit (first trimester) (1, C). Serology should be repeated, ideally during third trimester at 28-32 weeks' gestation and at delivery, in cases where there is increased risk and in settings with a high syphilis prevalence (1, C). Furthermore, for pregnant women with no documented previous test, testing should be performed at delivery.

Congenital syphilis^{119,120,122-124}

Confirmed congenital infection.

- Congenital syphilis is confirmed by identifying *T. pallidum* by DFE or PCR in the placenta or autopsy material, exudate from suspicious lesions or body fluids, e.g. nasal discharge.

Presumed congenital infection.

A presumptive diagnosis of congenital syphilis is made in:

- A stillborn neonate with a positive TT for syphilis.

- Children with a positive TT for syphilis in combination with one or more of the following:
 - persistent rhinitis, condylomata lata, osteitis, periostitis, osteochondritis, ascites, cutaneous and mucous membrane lesions, hepatitis, hepatosplenomegaly, glomerulonephritis, haemolytic anaemia;
 - radiological abnormalities of the long bones suggestive of congenital syphilis;
 - a positive RPR/VDRL test in the cerebrospinal fluid;
 - a fourfold increase or more of the TPPA/TPHA titre in the child's as opposed to the mother's serum (both obtained simultaneously at birth);
 - a fourfold increase or more of the titre of RPR/VDRL in the child's as opposed to the mother's serum (both obtained simultaneously at birth);
 - a fourfold increase or more of the titre of RPR/VDRL in the child within 3 months after birth;
 - a positive anti-treponemal IgM EIA, 19S-IgM-FTA-abs test and/or IgM-immunoblot for *T. pallidum* in the child's serum;
 - a mother, in whom syphilis was confirmed during pregnancy, but who was not adequately treated either before or during pregnancy.
- A child >12 months of age with a positive TT for syphilis and in whom sexual abuse has been excluded.

Late congenital syphilis.

Late congenital syphilis is diagnosed based on:

- Clinical features: interstitial keratitis, Clutton's joints, Hutchinson's incisors, mulberry molars, high palatal arch, perioral rhagades, deafness, frontal bossing, short maxilla, protuberance of mandible, saddlenose deformity, sternoclavicular thickening, paroxysmal cold haemoglobinuria, neurological or gummatous involvement.
- Serological tests: these can initially be negative in infants infected in late pregnancy and should be repeated. When the mother is treated during the last trimester of pregnancy, the treatment can be inadequate for the child and the child may still develop congenital syphilis.

Investigations.

- RPR/VDRL (quantitative), TPPA/TPHA (quantitative), anti-treponemal IgM-EIA, treponemal IgM (19S-IgM FTA-abs or IgM-immunoblot) – from infant's blood and not umbilical cord blood, since false-positive and -negative tests may result.
- Blood: Full blood count, liver function, electrolytes
- CSF: cells, protein, RPR/VDRL, TPHA/TPPA
- X-rays long bones
- Ophthalmic assessment as indicated

First line therapy option.

- Benzyl penicillin 150,000 units/kg IV daily (administered in six doses every 4 h) for 10-14 days (1, D)

Second line therapy option (only if CSF is normal).

- BPG 50,000 units/kg IM (single dose) up to the adult dose of 2.4 million units (1, D)
or Procaine penicillin 50,000 units/kg IM daily for 10-14 days, i.e. if BPG is not available (1, D)

HIV infected patients

General remarks^{87,89,125-133}

- Serological tests for syphilis in patients with HIV coinfection are generally reliable for the diagnosis of syphilis and for evaluation of treatment response.
- Patients with HIV-coinfection may have a slower rate of decline of VDRL/RPR after treatment but this should not be considered as failure of response to treatment.
- False-negative and false-positive tests and delayed appearance of seroreactivity have been reported anecdotally.
- In HIV-infected individuals with clinical suspicion of syphilis and negative syphilis serology (confirmed when repeated), it is advisable to perform other diagnostic tests e.g. histological, immunofluorescent or PCR examination of a biopsy from a clinically suspected lesion or DFE or PCR to identify treponemes in the exudate from early syphilitic lesions.⁶⁵
- HIV-infected patients with early syphilis do not appear to have an increased risk of (early) neurological and ocular involvement or higher rate of treatment failure with BPG.
- No data are available concerning the risk of neurosyphilis in HIV-infected patients with late syphilis.

Treatment of syphilis in patients with concomitant HIV-infection.

- Treatment should be given as for non-HIV-infected patients with careful follow-up to ensure an appropriate response.

Syphilis induced by solid organ transplant

First line therapy options.

- BPG 2.4 million units IM (one injection 2.4 million units single dose or 1.2 million units in each buttock) weekly on day 1, 8 and 15 (1, B)^{10,134}

Second line therapy option.

- Procaine penicillin 600,000 units IM daily for 10-14 days, i.e. if BPG is not available (1, C)¹³⁴

Penicillin allergy.

Some specialists recommend penicillin desensitization because the evidence base for the effectiveness of non-penicillin regimens is weak.

- Doxycycline 200 mg daily (either 100 mg twice daily or as a single 200 mg dose) orally during 21-28 days (1, C)¹³⁴

Reactions to treatment

Patients should be warned of possible reactions to treatment. Facilities for resuscitation should be available in the treatment area.

Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction.

- An acute febrile illness with headache, myalgia, chills and rigors, resolving within 24 hours.
- Common in early syphilis (10-25%)¹³⁵ but is usually not important unless there is neurological or ophthalmic involvement, in neonates or in pregnancy when it may cause fetal distress and premature labour.
- May be more frequent with penicillin than with doxycycline¹³⁵
- Uncommon in late syphilis but can potentially be life threatening if involvement of strategic sites (e.g. coronary ostia, larynx, nervous system)^{136,137}
- Prednisolone can prevent the febrile episode.¹³⁸ Although unproven biological plausibility suggests that steroids may help to prevent severe deterioration in optic neuritis and uveitis following a reaction to treatment in early syphilis.
- Management:
 - If cardiovascular or neurological involvement (including optic neuritis) exists, inpatient management is advisable.
 - Prevention of Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction: Prednisolone 20-60 mg daily for 3 days, starting syphilis treatment 24 h after commencing prednisolone (2, D)
 - Antipyretics

Procaine reaction (procaine psychosis, procaine mania, Hoigné syndrome).

- Due to inadvertent IV injection of procaine penicillin, the risk of which may be minimised by the "aspiration technique" of injection.
- Characterised by fear of impending death and may cause hallucinations or fits immediately after injection. Lasts less than 20 min.
- Management:

- Exclude anaphylaxis
- Calm and verbal reassurance; restraint may be necessary.
- Diazepam 5-10 mg rectally/IV/IM if convulsions

Anaphylactic shock.

- Facilities for treatment of anaphylaxis should be available as penicillin is a common cause.
- Management:
 - Epinephrine (adrenaline) 1:1000 (1 mg/ml) IM 0.5 ml followed by:
 - injectable antihistamine e.g. chlorpheniramine 10 mg IM/IV or clemastine 2-4 mg IM/IV
 - Hydrocortisone 100 mg IM/IV

Pre-exposure prophylaxis and syphilis

Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine is effective for reducing HIV acquisition but may - at the individual level - result in an increase in the frequency of condomless sex and several studies have shown it could increase the acquisition of STIs in general.^{139,140}

This PrEP population should be tested for syphilis every 3 months. A few studies have evaluated pre-exposure (or immediate post-exposure) prophylactic treatment of STIs using doxycycline, taken continuously¹⁴¹ or intermittently.¹⁴² Although this has been shown to potentially decrease the incidence of syphilis and chlamydia, follow-up has been short and there is a risk of promoting development or acquisition of tetracycline resistance in STI bacteria and the microbiome more generally. Additional studies in different settings and with longer follow-up are required before this prophylactic treatment can be recommended.¹⁴³

Contact tracing, management of sexual partners and notification of syphilis cases

- All patients with syphilis should be seen for sexual contact notification (notification by the patient [patient referral] or by a health department: provider referral), health education and confirmation of any past treatment history. Further advice from International Union against STI (IUSTI) on this matter can be found in the IUSTI guideline on Partner management at <https://iusti.org/treatment-guidelines/>.
- Clear information about syphilis should be given to all infected individuals and their sexual contacts. Patient information resources can be found at <https://iusti.org/patient-information/>.
- Although the division of latent syphilis in early and late stages is useful for determining treatment and partner notification, this classification can be problematic for use in surveillance, as a substantial number of late,

hypothetically non-infectious, latent syphilis cases (latent syphilis of unknown duration classified as late latent) can be due to early, infectious, latent syphilis.

- Sexual contact notification helps to reduce the disease burden in the community, identify asymptomatic syphilitic patients and can delineate relevant sexual risk networks. The use of internet based notification systems and community outreach programs may assist in identifying and engaging with sexual partners.
- Sexual contacts should include all those individuals who have had oral, genital or anal intercourse with infected individuals, whether or not barrier protection was used.
- For patients with primary syphilis, sexual contacts within the past 3 months should be notified as the incubation period is up to 90 days.¹⁴⁴ Partner notification may have to be extended to 2 years for patients with secondary syphilis associated with clinical relapse or in early latent syphilis. Longer time periods may be required in those with late latent and tertiary syphilis.¹⁴⁴
- 46-60% of traced sexual contacts, including pregnant women, of patients with early syphilis are likely to be infected.¹⁴⁵
- Immediate epidemiological treatment for sexual contacts should be considered (especially of pregnant partners!) unless contacts are able to attend regularly for exclusion of syphilis through clinical and serological examination (0, 6 weeks and 3 to 6 months).
- Serological tests for syphilis should be performed at the first visit and repeated at 6 weeks and 3 to 6 months.
- Notification of syphilis to the relevant national authority is mandatory in most European countries, particularly early syphilis and congenital syphilis. The ECDC is responsible for the EU/EEA-wide surveillance of communicable diseases including syphilis.

Follow-up and test of cure

The follow-up of treated syphilis patients to ensure cure and detect reinfection or relapse is achieved by assessing the clinical and serological response to treatment. Globally many studies have confirmed that follow up is suboptimal.^{125,146}

- Early syphilis, minimum clinical and serological (VDRL/RPR) follow up at 1 month, 3 months then at 6 and 12 months.
 - After treatment of early syphilis the titer of a NTT taken at day 0 (e.g. VDRL and/or RPR) should decline by ≥ 2 dilution steps (\geq fourfold decrease in titre of antibodies) within 6 months.^{1,4,22} However around 15% of patients with early syphilis and no HIV-infection do not have a fourfold decrease of titre at 6 months, the significance of which is unknown.¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁴⁹ These patients should be tested again at 12 months. Patients with repeat early syphilis have usually higher titres and a slower decline in titres post-treatment.^{100,150,151}

- If a fourfold decrease in the antibody titre of a NTT does not occur after 6-12 months, ('serological failure') some professionals recommend additional treatment with one weekly injection of BPG 2.4 million units for 3 weeks but no robust evidence for this recommendation exists (2, D)
- A negative NTT can be obtained in a substantial number of (but not in all) patients treated for early syphilis after 1 to 2 years. Patients with repeat syphilis serorevert less often. A negative NTT after treatment is considered to be the best confirmation of cure. In patients with persistent low titres (i.e. ≤ 4), in NTT (named the serofast state) strict follow-up is recommended but in the absence of ongoing risk these patients should be considered as successfully treated. In patients with persistent high titres of NTT (i.e. ≥ 8), CSF assessment should be considered with the aim of detecting asymptomatic neurosyphilis, although there is no robust evidence for this recommendation (2, D)
- A TT may remain positive for life even following effective treatment; and appropriate documentation is necessary to prevent unnecessary retreatment.
- In late (latent) syphilis the serological response of NTTs is often absent. In non-HIV-infected late latent syphilis patients with a reactive NTT, which remains stable in a low titer range, follow-up after treatment is generally not indicated (2, D).
- An increase of ≥ 2 dilution steps (fourfold increase in antibody titre) in a NTT, in the absence of clinical symptoms, suggests reinfection or hypothetical relapse. Treatment should be given according to the above guidelines for latent syphilis (early if < 1 year; late if ≥ 1 year) (1,C) Patients at high risk of reinfection should be checked frequently using NTT, e.g. every 3 months. (2, C). Reinfection or relapse should be retreated preferably with supervised treatment schedules to ensure compliance and sexual partners should be rescreened.
- Follow-up examination of CSF should be performed 6 weeks to 6 months after treatment of neurosyphilis to monitor decrease of white blood cells and proteins.¹⁵² (2, D) Limited data suggest that CSF control could be avoided in patients with normalization of serum RPR titres.¹⁵³

Qualifying statement

The recommendations in this guideline may not be appropriate for use in all clinical situations. Decisions to follow these recommendations must be based on the professional judgement of the clinician and consideration of individual patient circumstances and available resources.

All possible care has been undertaken to ensure the publication of the correct dosage of medication and route of administration. However, it remains the responsibility of the prescribing physician to ensure the accuracy and appropriateness of the medication they prescribe.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for valuable input on the present guideline to Jonathan Ross, Jorgen Skov Jensen, Agnieszka Serwin, and Keith Radcliffe.

Current composition of the European Guideline Editorial Board can be found at <https://iusti.org/treatment-guidelines/>

List of contributing organizations can be reviewed at <https://iusti.org/treatment-guidelines/>

Search strategies and level of evidence grading

Appendix

References

1. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. <http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/>
2. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for the treatment of *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis) 2016 <http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/rtis/syphilis-treatment-guidelines/en>
3. Spiteri G, Unemo M, Mårdh O, Amato-Gauci AJ. The resurgence of syphilis in high-income countries in the 2000s: a focus on Europe. *Epidemiol Infect* 2019; **147**: e143. doi: 10.1017/S0950268819000281.
4. Janier M, Hegyi V, Dupin N, Unemo M, Tiplica GS, French P, Patel R. 2014 European guideline on the management of syphilis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2014; **20**: 300-309.
5. Grassly NC, Fraser C, Garnett GP. Host immunity and synchronized epidemics of syphilis across the United States. *Nature* 2005; **433**: 417-421.
6. Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention. Syphilis (*Treponema pallidum*) 2018. Case definition. CSTE 17-ID-11
7. Hook EW 3rd. Syphilis. *Lancet* 2017; **389**: 1550-1557.
8. Dupin N. Syphilis. *Rev Med Interne* 2016; **37**: 735-742.
9. Peeling RW, Mabey D, Kamb ML, Chen XS, Radolf JD, Benzaken AS. Syphilis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2017; **3**: 17073. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2017.73.
10. Kovacs Jr CS, Koval CE, van Duin D *et al*. Selecting suitable solid organ transplant donors: reducing the risk of donor-transmitted infections. *World J Transplant* 2014; **4**: 43-56.
11. Rompalo AM, Lawlor J, Seaman P, Quinn TC, Zenilman JM, Hook EW. Modification of syphilitic genital ulcer manifestations by coexistent HIV infection. *Sex Transm Dis* 2001; **28**: 448-454.
12. Hope-Rapp E, Anyfantakis V, Fouéré S *et al*. Etiology of genital ulcer disease. A prospective study of 278 cases seen in an STD clinic in Paris. *Sex Transm Dis* 2010; **37**: 153-158.

13. Towns JM, Leslie DE, Denham J, Azzato F, Fairley CK, Chen M. Painful and multiple anogenital lesions are common in men with *Treponema pallidum* PCR-positive primary syphilis without Herpes simplex virus coinfection: a cross-sectional clinic-based study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016; **92**: 112-115.
14. Farhi D, Benhaddou N, Grange P *et al.* Clinical and serologic baseline and follow up features of syphilis according to HIV status in the post HAART era. *Medicine* 2009; **88**: 331-340.
15. Vanhaecke C, Grange P, Benhaddou N *et al.* Clinical and biological characteristics of 40 patients with neurosyphilis and evaluation of *Treponema pallidum* nested polymerase chain reaction in cerebrospinal fluid samples. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; **63**: 1180-1188.
16. Dombrowski JC, Pedersen R, Marra CM, Kerani R, Golden MR. Prevalence estimates of complicated syphilis. *Sex Transm Dis* 2015; **42**: 702-704.
17. Park KH, Lee MS, Hong IK *et al.* Bone involvement in secondary syphilis: a case report and systematic review of the literature. *Sex Transm Dis* 2014; **41**: 532-537.
18. Mishra S, Walmsley SL, Loutfy MR, Kaul R, Logue KJ, Gold WL. Orosyphilis in HIV-coinfected individuals: a case series from Toronto, Canada. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2008; **22**: 213-219.
19. Davey DJ, Kojima N, Konda KA *et al.* Transient aortitis documented by positron emission tomography in a case series of men and transgender women infected with syphilis. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017; **93**: 551-555.
20. MMWR: CDC case definitions for public health surveillance. Oct 19, 1990/vol.39/No.RR-13.
21. Wheeler HL, Agarwal S, Goh BT. Dark ground microscopy and treponemal serological tests in the diagnosis of early syphilis. *Sex Transm Infect* 2004; **80**: 411-414.
22. Ballard R, Hook III EW. *Syphilis*. In: *Laboratory diagnosis of sexually transmitted infections, including human immunodeficiency virus*. World Health Organization (WHO). 2013
23. Grange PA, Gressier L, Dion PL *et al.* Evaluation of a PCR test for detection of *Treponema pallidum* in swabs and blood. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012; **50**: 546-552.
24. Marks M, Lawrence D, Kositz C, Mabey D. Diagnostic performance of PCR assays for the diagnosis of neurosyphilis: a systematic review. *Sex Transm Infect* 2018; **94**: 585-588.
25. Gayet -Ageron A, Sednaoui P, Lautenschlager S *et al.* Use of *Treponema pallidum* PCR in testing of ulcers for diagnosis of primary syphilis. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015; **21**: 127-129.
26. Shields M, Guy RJ, Jeffreys NJ, Finlayson RJ, Donovan B. A longitudinal

- evaluation of *Treponema pallidum* PCR testing in early syphilis. *BMC Infect Dis* 2012; **12**: 353. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-12-353.
27. Buffet M, Grange PA, Gerhardt P *et al.* Diagnosing *Treponema pallidum* in secondary syphilis by PCR and immunohistochemistry. *J Invest Dermatol* 2007; **127**: 2345-2350.
28. Müller H, Eisendle K, Bräuninger W, Kutzner H, Cerroni L, Zelger B. Comparative analysis of immuno-histochemistry, polymerase chain reaction and focus-floating microscopy for the detection of *Treponema pallidum* in mucocutaneous lesions of primary, secondary and tertiary syphilis. *Br J Dermatol* 2011; **165**: 50-60.
29. Petrich A, Rojas P, Schulze J *et al.* Fluorescence in situ hybridization for the identification of *Treponema pallidum* in tissue sections. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2015; **305**: 709-718.
30. Grange PA, Allix-Beguec C, Chanal J *et al.* Molecular subtyping of *Treponema pallidum* in Paris, France. *Sex Transm Dis* 2013; **40**: 641-644.
31. Peng RR, Wang AL, Li J, Tucker JD, Yin YP, Chen XS. Molecular typing of *Treponema pallidum*: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2011; **5**: e1273.
32. Ho EL, Lukehart SA. Syphilis: using modern approaches to understand an old disease. *J Clin Invest* 2011; **121**: 4584-4592.
33. Popisilova P, Grange PA, Grillova L *et al.* Multi-locus sequence typing of *Treponema pallidum* subsp. *pallidum* present in clinical samples from France: infecting treponemes are genetically diverse and belong to 18 allelic profiles. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0201068. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201068.
34. Beale MA, Marks M, Sahi SK *et al.* Genomic epidemiology of syphilis reveals independent emergence of macrolide resistance across multiple circulating lineages. *Nat Commun* 2019; **10**: 3255-3263.
35. Vrbova E, Grillov L, Mikalova L *et al.* MLST typing of *Treponema pallidum* subsp. *pallidum* in the Czech Republic during 2004-2017: Clinical isolates belonged to 25 allelic profiles and harboured 8 novel allelic variants. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**: e0217611.
36. Grillova, L., Jolley, K., Smajs, D. Picardeau, M. A public data base for the new MLST scheme for *Treponema pallidum* subsp. *pallidum*: surveillance and epidemiology of the causative agent of syphilis. *Peer J* 2019; **6**: e6182.
37. Larsen SA, Steiner BM, Rudolph AH. Laboratory diagnosis and interpretation of tests for syphilis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1995; **8**: 1-21.
38. Nandwani R, Evans DTP. Are you sure it's syphilis? A review of false positive serology. *Int J STD AIDS* 1995; **6**: 241-248.
39. Young H. Guidelines for serological testing for syphilis. *S.ex Transm Infect* 2000; **76**: 403-405.

40. Hunter M, Robertson PW, Post JJ. Significance of isolated reactive treponemal chemiluminescence immunoassay results. *J Infect Dis* 2013; **207**: 1416-1423.
41. Cole MJ, Perry KR, Parry JV. Comparative evaluation of 15 serological assays for the detection of syphilis infection. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007; **26**: 705-713.
42. Binnicker MJ, Jespersen DJ, Rollins LO. Treponema-specific tests for serodiagnosis of syphilis: comparative evaluation of seven assays. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2011; **49**: 1313-1317.
43. Gratzler B, Pohl D, Hotton AL *et al*. Evaluation of diagnostic serological results in cases of suspected primary syphilis infection. *Sex Transm Dis* 2014; **41**: 285-289.
44. Busse C, Navid MH, Strubel A, Schnitzler P. Evaluation of a new recombinant antigen-based Virotech *Treponema pallidum* screen ELISA for diagnosis of syphilis. *Clin Lab* 2013; **59**: 523-529.
45. Marangoni A, Nardini P, Foschi C *et al*. Evaluation of the BioPlex 2200 syphilis system as a first-line method of reverse-sequence screening for syphilis diagnosis. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2013; **20**: 1084-1088.
46. Castro A, Jost H, Cox D *et al*. A comparison of the analytical level of agreement of nine treponemal assays for syphilis and possible implications for screening algorithms. *BMJ Open* 2013; **3**: e003347.
47. Seña AC, White B, Sparling PF. Novel *Treponema pallidum* serologic tests: a paradigm shift in syphilis screening for the 21st century. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; **51**: 700-708.
48. Park IU, Chow JM, Bolan G, Stanley M, Shieh J, Schapiro JM. Screening for syphilis with the treponemal immunoassay: analysis of discordant serology results and implications for clinical management. *J Infect Dis* 2011; **204**: 1297-1304.
49. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Discordant results from reverse sequence syphilis screening--five laboratories, United States, 2006-2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011; **60**: 133-137.
50. Park IU, Fakile YF, Chow JM *et al*. Performance of treponemal tests for the diagnosis of syphilis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; **68**: 913-918.
51. Šmajš, D., Strouhal, M. Knauf, S. Genetics of human and animal uncultivable treponemal pathogens. *Infect Genet Evol* 2018; **61**: 92-107.
52. Gray RR, Mulligan CJ, Molin BJ *et al*. Molecular evolution of the *tprC*, *D*, *I*, *K*, *G* and *J* genes in the pathogenic genus *Treponema*. *Mol Biol Evol* 2006; **23**: 2220-2233.
53. Centurion-Lara A, Giacani L, Godornes C *et al*. Fine analysis of genetic diversity of the *tpr* gene family among treponemal species, subspecies and strains. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2013; **7**: e2222.

54. Tsuboi M, Nishijima T, Aoki T *et al.* Usefulness of automated latex turbidimetric rapid plasma reagin test for diagnosis and evaluation of treatment response in syphilis in comparison with manual card test: a prospective cohort study. *J Clin Microbiol* 2018; **56**: e01003-18.
55. Osbak K, Abdellati S, Tsoumanis A *et al.* Evaluation of an automated quantitative latex immunoturbidimetric non-treponemal assay for diagnosis and follow-up of syphilis: a prospective cohort study. *J Med Microbiol* 2017; **66**: 1130-1139.
56. Malm K, Andersson S, Fredlund H *et al.* Analytic evaluation of nine serological assays for diagnosis of syphilis *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2015; **29**: 2369-2376.
57. Herring AJ, Ballard RC, Pope V *et al.* A multi-centre evaluation of nine rapid, point-of-care syphilis tests using archived sera. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006; **82**(Suppl 5): v7-12.
58. Gliddon HD, Peeling R, Kamb ML, Toskin I, Wi TE, Taylor MM. A systematic review and meta-analysis of studies evaluating the performance and operational characteristics of dual point-of-care tests for HIV and syphilis. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017; **93** (S4): S3-S15.
59. Owusu-Edusei K Jr, Gift TL, Ballard RC. Cost-effectiveness of a dual non-treponemal/treponemal syphilis point-of-care test to prevent adverse pregnancy outcome in sub-Saharan Africa. *Sex Transm Dis* 2011; **38**: 997-1003.
60. Castro AR, Esfandiari J, Kumar S *et al.* Novel point-of-care test for simultaneous detection of nontreponemal and treponemal antibodies in patients with syphilis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010; **48**: 4615-4619.
61. Yin YP, Chen XS, Wei WH *et al.* A dual point-of-care test shows good performance in simultaneously detecting nontreponemal and treponemal antibodies in patients with syphilis: a multisite evaluation study in China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; **56**: 659-665.
62. Causer L, Kaldor JM, Conway DP *et al.* An evaluation of a novel dual point-of care test for syphilis as a tool to distinguish active from past treated infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; **61**:184-191.
63. Owusu-Edusei K Jr, Koski KA, Ballard RC. The tale of two serologic tests to screen for syphilis – treponemal and non-treponemal: does the order matter? *Sex Transm Dis* 2011; **38**: 448-456.
64. Owusu-Edusei K Jr, Peterman TA, Ballard RC. Serologic testing for syphilis in the United States: a cost-effectiveness analysis of two screening algorithms. *Sex Transm Dis* 2011; **38**: 1-7.
65. Stoner B. Current controversies in the management of adult syphilis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; **44**(Suppl 3): S130-146.

66. Workowski KA, Bolan GA. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2015; **64**: 34-51.
67. Peng J, Lu Y, Yu H *et al*. Analysis of 2 reverse syphilis testing algorithms in diagnosis of syphilis: a large-cohort prospective study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; **67**: 947-953.
68. Tong ML, Lin LR, Liu LL *et al* Analysis of 3 algorithms for syphilis serodiagnosis and implications for clinical management *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; **58**: 1116-1124.
69. Cantor AG, Pappas M, Daeges M, Nelson HD. Screening for syphilis. Updated evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *JAMA* 2016; **315**: 2328-2337.
70. Berry GJ, Loeffelholz MJ. Use of treponemal screening assay strength of signal to avoid unnecessary confirmatory testing. *Sex Transm Dis* 2016; **43**: 737-740.
71. Liu LL, Lin LR, Tong ML *et al*. Incidence and risk factors for the prozone phenomenon in serologic testing for syphilis in a large cohort. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; **59**: 384-389.
72. Wöhrl S, Geusau A. Neurosyphilis is unlikely in patients with late latent syphilis and a negative blood VDRL test. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2006; **86**: 335-339.
73. Tuddenham S, Obeng C, Ghanem KG. Neurosyphilis and ophthalmic syphilis in persons with negative rapid plasma reagin and positive treponemal antibody test results. *Sex Transm Dis* 2015; **42**: 347-349.
74. Geusau A, Kittler H, Hein U, Dangl-Erlach E, Stingl G, Tschachler E. Biological false-positive tests comprise a high proportion of Venereal Disease Research Laboratory reactions in an analysis of 300 000 sera. *Int JSTD AIDS* 2005; **16**: 722-726.
75. Hooshmand H, Escobar MR, Kopf SW. Neurosyphilis; a study of 241 patients. *JAMA* 1972; **219**: 726-729.
76. Löwhagen GB, Andersson M, Blomstrand C, Roupe G. Central nervous system involvement in early syphilis. Part I. Intrathecal immunoglobulin production. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1983; **63**: 409-417.
77. Wiesel J, Rose DN, Silver AL, Sacks HS, Bernstein RH. Lumbar puncture in asymptomatic late syphilis. *Arch Intern Med* 1985; **145**: 465-468.
78. Lukehart SA, Hook III EW, Bakerzander SA, Collier AC, Critchlow CW, Handsfield HH. Invasion of the central nervous system by *Treponema pallidum*: implications for diagnosis and treatment. *Ann Intern Med* 1988; **109**: 855-862.
79. Davis AP, Stern J, Tantaló L *et al*. How well do neurologic symptoms identify individuals with neurosyphilis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; **66**: 363-367.

80. Marra CM, Tantalo LC, Maxwell CL, Ho EL, Sahi SK, Jones T. The rapid plasma reagin test cannot replace the venereal disease research laboratory test for neurosyphilis diagnosis. *Sex Transm Dis* 2012; **39**: 453-457.
81. Tuddenham S, Ghanem KG. Neurosyphilis: knowledge gaps and controversies. *Sex Transm Dis* 2018; **45**: 147-151.
82. Marra CM, Maxwell CL, Smith SL *et al*. Cerebrospinal fluid abnormalities in patients with syphilis: association with clinical and laboratory features. *J Infect Dis* 2004; **189**: 369-376.
83. Shi M, Peng RR, Gao Z *et al*. Risk profiles of neurosyphilis in HIV-negative patients with primary, secondary and latent syphilis: implications for clinical intervention. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2016; **30**: 659-666.
84. Libois A, De Wit S, Poll B *et al*. HIV and syphilis: when to perform a lumbar puncture. *Sex Transm Dis* 2007; **34**: 141-144.
85. Harding AS, Ghanem KG. The performance of cerebrospinal fluid treponemal-specific antibody tests in neurosyphilis: a systematic review. *Sex Transm Dis* 2012; **39**: 291-297.
86. Tomkins A, Ahmad S, Cousins D, Thng CM, Vilar FJ, Higgins SP. Screening for asymptomatic neurosyphilis in HIV: an observational study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2018; **94**: 337-339.
87. Ghanem KG. Management of adult syphilis: Key questions to inform the 2015 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Sexually Transmitted Diseases treatment guidelines. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; **61**: S819-836.
88. Dabis R, Radcliffe K. What is the role of a full physical examination in the management of asymptomatic patients with late syphilis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2012; **23**: 901-902.
89. Rolfs RT, Joesoef MR, Hendershot EF *et al*. A randomized trial of enhanced therapy for early syphilis in patients with and without human immunodeficiency virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 1997; **337**: 307-314.
90. Dabis R, Radcliffe K. Is it useful to perform a chest X-ray in asymptomatic patients with late syphilis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2011; **22**: 105-106.
91. Rolfs RT. Treatment of syphilis, 1993. *Clin Infect Dis* 1995; **20**(Suppl 1): S23-38.
92. Dunlop EMC. Survival of treponemes after treatment, comments, clinical conclusions and recommendations. *Genitourin Med* 1985; **61**: 293-301.
93. Löwhagen GB, Brorson J-E, Kaijser B. Penicillin concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid and serum after intramuscular, intravenous and oral administration to syphilitic patients. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1983; **63**: 53-57.
94. Goh BT, Smith GW, Samarasinghe L, Singh V, Lim KS. Penicillin concentrations in serum and cerebrospinal fluid after intramuscular injection of aqueous procaine penicillin 0.6 MU with and without oral probenecid. *Br J Venereol Dis* 1984; **60**: 371-373.

95. Schoth PEM, Wolters EC. Penicillin concentrations in serum and CSF during high-dose intravenous treatment for neurosyphilis. *Neurology* 1987; **37**: 1214-1216.
96. Faber WR, Bos JD, Tietra PJGM, Fass H, van Eijk RTW. Treponemicidal level of amoxicillin in cerebrospinal fluid after oral administration. *Sex Transm Dis* 1983; **10**: 148-150.
97. Tanizaki R, Nishijima T, Aoki T *et al.* High-dose oral amoxicillin plus probenecid is highly effective for syphilis in patients with HIV infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; **61**: 177-183.
98. Ghanem KG, Erbeding EJ, Cheng WW, Rompalo AM. Doxycycline compared with benzathine penicillin for the treatment of syphilis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; **42**: e45-49.
99. Antonio MB, Cuba GT, Vasconcelos RP *et al.* Natural experiment of syphilis treatment with doxycycline or benzathine penicillin in HIV-infected patients. *AIDS* 2019; **33**: 77-81.
100. Salado-Rasmussen K, Hoffmann S, Cowan S *et al.* Serological response to treatment of syphilis with doxycycline compared with penicillin in HIV-infected individuals. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2016; **96**: 807-811.
101. Tsai JC, Lin HY, Lu PL *et al.* Comparison of serological response to doxycycline versus benzathine-penicillin G in the treatment of early syphilis in HIV-infected patients: a multicentre observational study. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e109813.
102. Liu Z, ZHU L, Ding L *et al.* Comparison of efficacy of treatments for early syphilis: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and observational studies. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0180001.
103. Marra CM, Boutin P, McArthur JC *et al.* A pilot study evaluating ceftriaxone and penicillin G as treatment agents for neurosyphilis in human immunodeficiency virus-infected individuals. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000; **30**: 540-544.
104. Dowell ME, Ross PG, Musher DM, Cate TR, Baughn RE. Response of latent syphilis or neurosyphilis to ceftriaxone therapy in persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Am J Med* 1992; **93**: 481-488.
105. Cao Y, Su X, Wang Q *et al.* A multicentre study evaluating ceftriaxone and benzathine penicillin G as treatment agents for early syphilis in Jiangsu, China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; **65**: 1683-1688.
106. Smith NH, Musher DM, Huang DB *et al.* Response of HIV infected patients with asymptomatic syphilis to intensive intramuscular therapy with ceftriaxone or procaine penicillin. *Int J STD AIDS* 2004; **15**: 328-332.

107. Riedner G, Rusizoka M, Todd J *et al.* Single dose azithromycin versus penicillin G benzathine for the treatment of early syphilis. *N Engl J Med* 2005; **353**: 1236-1244.
108. Hook EW, Behets F, Van Damme K *et al.* A phase III equivalence trial of azithromycin versus benzathine penicillin for treatment of early syphilis. *J Infect Dis* 2010; **201**: 1729-1735.
109. Lukehart SA, Godornes C, Molini BJ *et al.* Macrolide resistance in *Treponema pallidum* in the United States and Ireland. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **351**: 154-158.
110. Stamm LV. Global challenge of antibiotic-resistant *Treponema pallidum*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**: 583-589.
111. Zhou P, Li K, Lu H *et al.* Azithromycin treatment failure among primary and secondary syphilis patients in Shanghai. *Sex Transm Dis* 2010; **37**: 726-729.
112. Chen XS, Yin YP, Wei WH *et al.* High prevalence of azithromycin resistance to *Treponema pallidum* in geographically different areas in China. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2013; **19**: 975-979.
113. Gjestland T. The Oslo study of untreated syphilis; an epidemiologic investigation of the natural course of the syphilitic infection based upon a re-study of the Boeck-Bruusgaard material. *Acta Derm Venereol Suppl* (Stockh)1955; **35** (Suppl 34):3-368; Annex I-LVI.
114. Amir J, Ginat S, Cohen YH, Marcus TE, Keller N, Varsano I. Lidocaine as a diluent for administration of benzathine penicillin G. *Pediatr Infect Dis* 1998; **17**: 890-893.
115. Crowe G, Theodore C, Forster GE, Goh BT. Acceptability and compliance with daily injections of procaine penicillin in the treatment of syphilis-treponemal infection. *Sex Transm Dis* 1997; **24**: 127-130.
116. Wong T, Singh AE, De P. Primary syphilis treatment response to doxycycline/tetracycline versus benzathine penicillin. *Am J Med* 2008; **121**: 903-908.
117. Janier M, Libar E, Bonnet A *et al.* Treatment of late syphilis with 2.4 million units benzathine penicillin G (BPG): tolerance of single versus divided doses. *Sex Transm Dis* 2012; **39**: 359-360.
118. Nurse-Findlay S, Taylor MM, Savage M *et al.* Shortages of benzathine penicillin for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of syphilis: an evaluation from multi-country surveys and stakeholder interviews. *PLoS Med* 2017; **14**: e1002473.
119. Boot JM, Oranje AP, De Groot R, Tan G, Stolz E. Congenital syphilis. *Int J STD AIDS* 1992; **3**: 161-167.
120. Kamb ML, Newman LM, Riley PL, Mark J, Hawkes SJ, Malik T, Broutet N. A road map for the global elimination of congenital syphilis. *Obstet Gynecol Int* 2010; **2010**: 312798. doi: 10.1155/2010/312798.

121. Hong FC, Wu XB, Yang F *et al.* Risk of congenital syphilis (CS) following treatment of maternal syphilis: results of a CS control program in China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; **65**: 588-594.
122. Peterman TA, Newman DR, Davis D, Su JR. Do women with persistently negative nontreponemal test results transmit syphilis during pregnancy ? *Sex Transm Dis* 2013; **40**: 311-315.
123. Walker GJA. Antibiotics for syphilis diagnosed during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001; **(3)**: CD001143. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001143
124. Herremans T, Kortbeek L, Notermans DW. A review of diagnostic tests for congenital syphilis in newborns. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010; **29**: 495-501.
125. Blank LJ, Rompalo AM, Erbeding EJ, Zenilman JM, Ghanem KG. Treatment of syphilis in HIV-infected subjects: a systematic review of the literature. *Sex Transm Infect* 2011; **87**: 9-16.
126. Janier M, Chastang C, Spindler E *et al.* A prospective study of the influence of HIV status on the seroreversion of serological tests for syphilis. *Dermatology* 1999; **198**: 362-369.
127. Seña AC, Wolff M, Martin DH *et al.* Predictors of serological cure and serofast state after treatment in HIV-negative persons with early syphilis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; **53**: 1092-1099.
128. Ghanem KG, Erbeding EJ, Wiener ZS, Rompalo AM. Serological response to syphilis treatment in HIV-positive and HIV-negative patients attending sexually transmitted disease clinics. *Sex Transm Infect* 2007; **83**: 97-101.
129. Fröhlich-Knaute D, Graf N, Lautenschlager S, Weber R, Bosshard PP. Serological response to treatment of syphilis according to disease stage and HIV status. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; **55**: 1615-1622.
130. Gonzalez-Lopez JJ, Fernandez-Guerrero ML, Lujan R, Fernandez-Tostado S, de Gorgolas M, Requena L. Factors determining serologic response to treatment in patients with syphilis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; **49**: 1505-1511.
131. Courjon J, Hubiche T, Dupin N, Grange PA, Del Giudice P. Clinical aspects of syphilis reinfection in HIV-infected patients. *Dermatology* 2015; **230**: 302-307.
132. Ganesan A, Mesner O, Okulicz JF *et al.* A single dose of benzathine penicillin G is as effective as multiple doses of benzathine penicillin G for the treatment of HIV-infected persons with early syphilis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; **60**: 653-660.
133. Costa-Silva M, Azevedo C, Azevedo F, Lisboa C. Early syphilis treatment in HIV-infected patients: single dose vs. three doses of

- benzathine penicillin G. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2016; **10**: 1805-1809.
134. Cortes NJ, Afzali B, MacLean D *et al.* Transmission of syphilis by solid organ transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2006; **6**: 2497-2499.
135. Arando M, Fernandez-Naval C, Mota-Foix M *et al.* The Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction in syphilis: could molecular typing help to understand it better? *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2018; **32**: 1791-1795.
136. Degos R, Lortat-Jacob E, Macrez P. Aorto-myocardite syphilitique séro-positif. *Bull Soc Fr Dermatol Syphil* 1970; **77**: 32-33.
137. Belum GR, Belum VR, Arudra SKC *et al.* The Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction: revisited. *Travel Med Infect Dis* 2013; **11**: 231-237.
138. Gudjonsson H, Skog E. The effect of prednisolone on the Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction. *Acta Dermatol Venereol* 1968; **48**: 15-18.
139. Beymer M, DeVost MA, Weiss RE *et al.* Does HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis use lead to a higher incidence of sexually transmitted infections? A case-crossover study of men who have sex with men in Los Angeles, California. *Sex Transm Infect* 2018; **94**: 457-462.
140. Traeger MW, Schroeder SE, Wright EJ *et al.* Effects of pre-exposure prophylaxis for the prevention of Human Immunodeficiency Virus infection on sexual risk behaviour in men who have sex with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; **67**: 676-686.
141. Bolan RK, Beymer MR, Weiss RE, Flynn RP, Leibowitz AA, Klausner JD. Doxycycline prophylaxis to reduce incident syphilis among HIV-infected men who have sex with men who continue to engage in high-risk sex: a randomized, controlled pilot study. *Sex Transm Dis* 2015; **42**: 98-103.
142. Molina JM, Charreau I, Chidiac C *et al.* Post-exposure prophylaxis with doxycycline to prevent sexually transmitted infections in men who have sex with men: an open-label randomised substudy of the ANRS IPERGAY trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018; **18**: 308-317.
143. Grant JS, Stafylis C, Celum C *et al.* Doxycycline prophylaxis for bacterial sexually transmitted infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; **70**: 1247-1253.
144. Tiplica GS, Radcliffe K, Evans C *et al.* 2015 European Guidelines for the management of partners of persons with sexually transmitted infections *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2015; **29**: 1251-1257.
145. Schober PC, Gabriel G, White P, Felton WF, Thin RN. How infectious is syphilis? *Br J Vener Dis* 1983; **59**: 217-219.
146. Katz KA, Lee MA, Gray T, Marcus JL, Pierce EF. Repeat syphilis among men who have sex with men-San Diego county, 2004-2009. *Sex Transm Dis* 2011; **38**: 349-352.

147. Seña AC, Zang X-H, Li T *et al.* A systematic review of syphilis serological treatment outcomes in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected persons: rethinking the significance of serological non-responsiveness and the serofast state after therapy. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015; **15**: 479. doi:10.1186/s12879-015-1209-0.
148. Seña AC, Wolff M, Behets F *et al.* Rate of decline in Nontreponemal antibody titers and seroreversion after treatment of early syphilis. *Sex Transm Dis* 2017; **44**: 6-10.
149. Pastuszczak M, Jakiela B, Wojas-Pelc A. Association of interleukin-10 promoter polymorphisms with serofast state after syphilis treatment. *Sex Transm Infect* 2019; **95**: 163-168.
150. Knaute DF, Graf N, Lautenschlager S, Weber R, Bosshard PP. Serological response to treatment of syphilis according to disease stage and HIV status. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; **55**: 1615-1622.
151. Kenyon C, Tsoumanis A, Osbak K *et al.* Repeat syphilis has a different immune response compared with initial syphilis: an analysis of biomarker kinetics in two cohorts. *Sex Transm Infect* 2018; **94**: 180-186.
152. Marra CM, Maxwell CL, Tantalo L *et al.* Normalization of cerebrospinal fluid abnormalities after neurosyphilis therapy: does HIV status matter. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004; **38**: 1001-1006.
153. Marra CM, Maxwell CL, Tantalo SC, Sahi SK, Lukehart SA. Normalization of serum rapid plasma reagin titer predicts normalization of cerebrospinal fluid and clinical abnormalities after treatment of neurosyphilis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; **47**: 893-899.

Appendix 1

Search strategy

This guideline has been updated from the IUSTI-Europe Syphilis guideline 2014.⁴ Evidence for this guideline was provided by review of the Medline/Pubmed, Embase and Cochrane Library from 2014 to October 2019, using the term syphilis, neurosyphilis, congenital syphilis and *Treponema pallidum*.

Appendix 2

The levels of evidence and grading of recommendations according to a modified GRADE system are available in the agreed protocol for the production of European guidelines at <https://iusti.org/treatment-guidelines/>

Appendix 3

Table1. Treatment of syphilis in adults

Early syphilis (Primary, Secondary and Early latent, i.e. acquired <1 year previously)

First line therapy option:

- Benzathine penicillin G (BPG) 2.4 million units intramuscularly (IM) (one injection of 2.4 million units or 1.2 million units in each buttock) on day 1

Second line therapy option:

- Procaine penicillin 600,000 units IM daily for 10-14 days, i.e. if BPG is not available

Bleeding disorders:

- Ceftriaxone 1g intravenously (IV) daily for 10 days
- Doxycycline 200 mg daily (either 100 mg twice daily or as a single 200 mg dose) orally for 14 days

Penicillin allergy or parenteral treatment refused:

- Doxycycline 200 mg daily (either 100 mg twice daily or as a single 200 mg dose) orally for 14 days

Late latent (i.e. acquired \geq 1 year previously or of unknown duration), cardiovascular and gummatous syphilis

First line therapy option:

- BPG 2.4 million units IM (one injection 2.4 million units single dose or 1.2 million units in each buttock) weekly on day 1, 8 and 15

Second line therapy option:

- Procaine penicillin 600,000 units IM daily during 17-21 days, i.e. if BPG is not available

Penicillin allergy or parenteral treatment refused:

Some specialists recommend penicillin desensitization as the evidence base for the use of non-penicillin regimens is weak.

- Doxycycline 200 mg daily (either 100 mg twice daily or as a single 200 mg dose) orally during 21-28 days.

Neurosyphilis, ocular and auricular syphilis

First line therapy option:

- Benzyl penicillin 18-24 million units IV daily, as 3-4 million units every 4 hours for 10-14 day

Second line therapy option:

If hospitalization and IV benzyl penicillin is impossible

- Ceftriaxone 1-2 g IV daily for 10-14 days
- Procaine penicillin 1.2-2.4 million units IM daily AND probenecid 500 mg four times daily, both for 10-14 days

Penicillin allergy:

- Desensitization to penicillin followed by the first line regimen

Pregnancy

First line option for treatment of early syphilis (i.e. acquired <1 year previously):

- BPG 2.4 million units IM single dose (or 1.2 million units in each buttock)

Second line therapy option:

- Procaine penicillin 600,000 units IM daily for 10-14 days, i.e. if BPG is not available

Penicillin allergy.

- Desensitization to penicillin followed by the first line regimen

HIV infected patients

Treatment of syphilis in patients with concomitant HIV-infection

- Treatment should be given as for non-HIV-infected patients.